

## UNITED ATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS:

Washington, D.C. 20231

NO

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/088.964	06/02/98	RUBY	R	10971608-1
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		EXAMINER
' MM42/0915 ' CALVIN B. WARD			DOUGHERTY.T	
LEGAL DEPARTMENT, 20BN			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P 0 BOX 103			2834	3
PALO ALTO CA 94303-0890		0	DATE MAILED:	09/15/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/088,964

Applicant(s)

Ruby et al.

Examiner

Thomas M. Dougherty

Group Art Unit 2834



⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 2, 1998	·
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for for in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to exis longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions 37 CFR 1.136(a).	espond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
Application Papers	
🛛 See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re	eview, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
$\square$ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the	e priority documents have been
☐ received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numbe	r)
$\square$ received in this national stage application from the Inte	ernational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	·
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	·
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948  □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO 152	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE	FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 09/088,964 Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-6, drawn to AN ACOUSTIC RESONATOR, classified in class 310, subclass 349.
  - II. Claims 7-13, drawn to A METHOD OF MAKING AN ACOUSTICAL RESONATOR, classified in class 29, subclass 25.35.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

  Inventions of Group I and of Group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product such as a capacitor.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/088,964

Art Unit: 2834

A telephone call was made to Mr. Ian Hardcastle on September 10, 1999 to request an 4.

oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. A

message for Mr. Hardcastle was left at that time and a request for election made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37)

CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the 5.

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 6.

should be directed to Thomas M. Dougherty whose telephone number is (703) 308-1628.

September 14, 1999

Page 3